arXiv:1504.05863v5 [math.AG] 15 Apr 2016

SOME LOCI OF RATIONAL CUBIC FOURFOLDS

MICHELE BOLOGNESI, FRANCESCO RUSSO*,
AND WITH AN APPENDIX BY GIOVANNI STAGLIANÒ**

Abstract. In this paper we investigate the divisor $\mathcal{C}_{14}$ inside the moduli space of smooth cubic hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^5$, whose generic element is a smooth cubic containing a smooth quartic rational normal scroll. By showing that all degenerations of quartic scrolls in $\mathbb{P}^5$ contained in a smooth cubic hypersurface are surfaces with one apparent double point, we prove that every cubic hypersurface contained in $\mathcal{C}_{14}$ is rational. As an application of our results and of the construction of some explicit examples contained in the Appendix, we also prove that the Pfaffian locus is not open in $\mathcal{C}_{14}$.

Introduction

Cubic hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^5$ are among the most studied objects in algebraic geometry. This is surely due to the wealth of geometry that they carry along, and possibly to the fact that they are somehow a very simply defined class of geometric objects, whose rationality has not been cleared out yet. The study of the moduli space $\mathcal{C}$ of smooth cubic fourfolds, particularly through GIT and the period map, has known some very striking advances in the recent years, see for example [Vo, La, Lo], and this analysis has been developed in parallel to the study of rationality. In fact, the only known examples of rational cubic fourfolds are contained in some very specific loci in the moduli space, that have been described by Hassett [Has99] and Beauville-Donagi [BD], building on some remarks that date back to Fano [Fa]. In particular Hassett described a countable infinity of divisors $\mathcal{C}_d$ that parametrize *special cubic 4-folds,* that is cubic hypersurfaces containing a surface not homologous to a complete intersection. A nice work about the birational geometry of these divisors has been carried out recently in [Nu15].

An interesting locus of rational cubics is given by Pfaffian cubics, *i.e.* cubic hypersurfaces admitting an equation given by the Pfaffian of a 6×6 anti-symmetric matrix of linear forms. These cubics form a dense set in the Fano-Hassett divisor $\mathcal{C}_{14}$, which is not open in $\mathcal{C}_{14}$, as we shall show in Theorem 3.5 (but which contains an open not empty subset, see Remark 3.6). The special surface in the case of $\mathcal{C}_{14}$ is a smooth quartic rational normal scroll. The Pfaffian cubics form a subset in $\mathcal{C}_{14}$, which consists exactly of cubic fourfolds containing a smooth quintic del Pezzo surface, see [Be, Proposition 9.2].

A second class of rational cubic fourfolds is parametrized by a countable infinity of irreducible divisors W_i in $\mathcal{C}_8$, the divisor of cubic 4-folds containing a plane. The families W_i's are thus of codimension two in $\mathcal{C}$ and consist of cubic 4-folds containing a plane P such that

---

*Partially supported by the PRIN "Geometria delle varietà algebriche" and by the Research Network Program GDRE-GRIFGA; the author is a member of the G.N.S.A.G.A. **Supported by a BJT fellowship from CAPES (N. A028/2013).

the natural quadric fibration obtained by projection from P has a rational section, yielding directly the rationality of these cubic hypersurfaces. As first remarked in [ABBV-A] and as we shall also show in the last section, there exist rational cubic hypersurfaces in $\mathcal{C}_8$ such that the associated quadric fibration has no section, see Remark 3.4 for details. Since rationality is not a deformation invariant, it is not clear at all if every cubic in $\mathcal{C}_{14}$ is rational, notwithstanding the existence of a dense set of rational cubics. Moreover, $\mathcal{C}_8 \cap \mathcal{C}_{14} \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{C}_{14}$ intersects also many other divisors $\mathcal{C}_d$ for which the general member is not known to be rational.

One of the main results of this paper is the following.

Theorem 0.1. *All the cubic 4-folds contained in the irreducible divisor $\mathcal{C}_{14}$ are rational.*

The way to the proof of Thm. 0.1 requires a complete understanding of the details of some results proved by Fano in [Fa], of which we give new and modern formulation (and proofs).

Some of these results have been frequently cited and used in the literature but a modern detailed account does not seem to have appeared elsewhere. More precisely, they rely on the study of the rational map defined by quadrics vanishing on a smooth quartic rational normal scroll and on its restriction to a cubic hypersurface containing the scroll; on a quite subtle argument involving quadrics vanishing on two quartic rational normal scrolls contained in a smooth cubic hypersurface and intersecting in 10 points; on a deformation argument, which is one of Fano's geometrical gems contained in [Fa], which we amplified and restated as follows. We will denote by $\mathbb{G}(k,n)$ the projective Grassmannian of k-linear subspaces in $\mathbb{P}^n$.

Theorem 0.2. *Let $X \in \mathcal{C}_{14} \setminus \mathcal{C}_8$ and let $\mathcal{T}$ be the Hilbert scheme of quartic rational normal scrolls contained in X. Then $\dim(\mathcal{T}) = 2$ and each irreducible component of $\mathcal{T}$ is parametrized by a smooth irreducible $K3$ surface S of degree 14 and genus 8, isomorphic to a linear section of $\mathbb{G}(1,5) \subset \mathbb{P}^{14}$ with a $\mathbb{P}^8$.*

Moreover, the family of smooth quartic rational normal scrolls contained in X coincides with $\mathcal{T}$ so that it is projective and with each irreducible component isomorphic to S.

The combination of the previous result with the ideas of Fano used in the proof of Theorem 2.4, yields the following result, which can be seen as a refinement and a geometrical interpretation of the well known Beauville-Donagi isomorphism proved differently in [Be, Proposition 5] for Pfaffian cubics not containing a plane.

Corollary 0.3. *Let $X \in \mathcal{C}_{14} \setminus \mathcal{C}_8$, let S be the smooth $K3$ surface of degree 14 and genus 8 parametrizing smooth quartic rational normal scrolls contained in X and let $S^{[2]}$ be the Hilbert scheme of length two subschemes of S. Then the Hilbert scheme of lines contained in X is isomorphic to $S^{[2]}$.*

The geometrical description of the divisor $\mathcal{C}_{14}$ allows us to extend the known rationality of a general element of $\mathcal{C}_{14}$ to each element of the family using generalized one apparent double point surfaces, dubbed OADP surfaces in the following (see Section 1 for precise definitions). As it is classically well known, see also [Ru, Section 5], the mere existence of a OADP surface inside a cubic 4-fold implies its rationality. Most notably, the OADP property continue to hold for the (not necessarily irreducible) degenerations contained in a smooth cubic hypersurface (but not for arbitrary projective degenerations).

It is worth to recall that a Hodge theoretical proof of the irreducibility of $\mathcal{C}_d$ for all d has been given by Hassett in [Has00] for the loci he constructed and in particular applies also for $d = 14$.

Then we turn ourselves to a problem that has been treated for the first time in [ABBV-A]. We consider the intersections of $\mathcal{C}_8$ with $\mathcal{C}_{14}$. The general associated cubic fourfolds contain a plane and (the class of) a smooth quartic scroll. A smooth quartic scroll has homogeneous ideal generated by quadratic equations whose first syzygies are generated by linear ones. This property, combined with some elementary intersection theory, allows us to give a thorough description of the irreducible components that make up these intersections, all having codimension two inside the moduli space. The components of $\mathcal{C}_8 \cap \mathcal{C}_{14}$ had already been described in [ABBV-A] but our approach here is more direct and different. In particular we are able to check which components intersect the Pfaffian locus of the moduli space, allowing us to prove that *the Pfaffian locus is not open in* $\mathcal{C}_{14}$.

The following theorems resume these results.

Theorem 0.4. *There are five irreducible components of* $\mathcal{C}_8 \cap \mathcal{C}_{14}$*, whose elements are rational cubic hypersurfaces. The components are indexed by the value* $P \cdot T \in \{-1, 0, 1, 2, 3\}$*, where* $P \subset X$ *is a plane and* T *the class of a small OADP surface such that* $T^2 = 10$ *and* $T \cdot h^2 = 4$*.*

Generically, the cycle T above is a smooth quartic rational normal scroll with the exception of the component indexed by $P \cdot T = -1$, where the general element does not contain any smooth quartic rational normal scroll or any smooth quintic del Pezzo surface and T is the union of two quadric surfaces intersecting along a line. This yields the following result.

Theorem 0.5. *The set* $\mathcal{P}f \subset \mathcal{C}_{14}$ *is not open in* $\mathcal{C}_{14}$*. Analogously, the set of smooth cubic fourfolds containing a smooth quartic rational normal scroll is not open in* $\mathcal{C}_{14}$*.*

0.1. **Description of the contents.** In Section 2 we develop some technical results that will be used in the rest of the paper. In particular, we explain the relation between certain varieties defined by quadratic equations and the OADP condition. Moreover, we develop some elementary intersection theory calculations on cubic 4-folds.

In Section 3 we reconstruct and give a modern proof of Fano's observations recalled above and we show the rationality of every element of $\mathcal{C}_{14} \setminus \mathcal{C}_8$. Then, we describe the components of $\mathcal{C}_8 \cap \mathcal{C}_{14}$, prove the rationality of every cubic in this set, discuss their geometry and analyze their intersections with the Pfaffian locus. Finally, we give a quick proof of the non-openness of the Pfaffian locus.

The paper ends with an Appendix by Giovanni Staglianò containing some examples of cubic hypersurfaces in $\mathcal{C}_8 \cap \mathcal{C}_{14}$ crucial for the proof of the non-openness of the Pfaffian locus.

Acknowledgements. Our discussions on this topic began after a talk of the first author based on [ABBV-A] at the Conference *New trends in Algebraic Geometry* held in Cosenza. Our collaboration started effectively during a visit of the second author in Rennes, partially supported by the Research Network Program GDRE-GRIFGA, by PRIN *Geometria delle Varietà Algebriche* and by the Labex LEBESGUE. The second author renewed his interest in the subject during an interesting and very pleasant visit at HSE in Moscow. He wish to express his gratitude to HSE for supporting completely the invitation and to Fyodor Zak for his wonderful hospitality during that period as well for his interest in the paper [Fa], which

stimulated us to come back to Fano's original geometrical arguments. Many thanks to A. Auel (a comment of whom inspired Thm. 3.5), M. Bernardara, B. Hassett, A. Kuznetsov, D. Markushevich and H. Nuer for stimulating conversations and exchange of ideas. We particularly thank C. Ciliberto for some discussions on Hilbert schemes of rational normal scrolls, putting solid bases to some rough intuitions.

1. Preliminary results

Let $X = X_1 \cup X_2 \cup .. \cup X_r \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be an algebraic set with X_i's irreducible and with $X_i \not\subseteq X_j$ for every i and j. Let us recall that according to [EGHP] the algebraic set X is a linearly joined sequence if

$$(X_1 \cup X_2 \cup ... \cup X_i) \cap X_{i+1} = < X_1 \cup X_2 \cup ... \cup X_i > \cap < X_{i+1} >$$

for every $i = 1, \ldots, r-1$, where $< Y >$ denotes the linear span of an algebraic set $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^N$. One should remark that the previous property depends on the order of the irreducible components, see *loc. cit.*

Recall that a non-degenerate scheme $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is 2*–regular* if its homogeneous ideal $I(X)$ is generated by quadratic equations and if $I(X)$ has a linear resolution. In particular the first syzygies of $I(X)$ are generated by the linear ones.

Examples of 2-regular irreducible varieties are non-degenerate varieties $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ of minimal degree $\deg(X) = \operatorname{codim}(X) + 1$, which are also characterized by the previous algebraic property. In order to state the most general version for schemes let us recall that a scheme $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is *small* if for every linear space $P \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ such that $Y = P \cap X$ has finite length $\deg(Y)$ we have $\dim(< Y >) = \deg(Y) - 1$, that is the $\deg(Y)$ points are linearly independent in $\mathbb{P}^N$. In particular $\deg(Y) \leq \dim(P) + 1$ for every linear space $P \subset \mathbb{P}^N$.

We are now in position to quote the main result of [EGHP].

Theorem 1.1. *Let $X = X_1 \cup \ldots \cup X_r \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be an algebraic set. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *X is small;*

(ii) *X is 2-regular;*

(iii) *X is a linearly joined sequence of varieties of minimal degree.*

We shall need the next result.

Proposition 1.2. ([Ve, Proposition 2.8]) *Let $f_0, \ldots, f_M$ be homogeneous form in $N+1$ variables of degree $d \geq 2$ such that the Koszul syzygies of the ideal $< f_0, \ldots, f_M >$ are generated by the linear ones. Then the closure of each fiber of the rational map*

$$\phi = (f_0 : \ldots : f_M) : \mathbb{P}^N \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^M$$

is a linear space.

Proof. If $y_0, \ldots, y_M$ are homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbb{P}^M$ the equations of the graph of ϕ in $\mathbb{P}^N \times \mathbb{P}^M$, outside the exceptional divisor over the indeterminacy locus of ϕ, are $y_i f_j - y_j f_i = 0$, which can be naturally identified with the generators of the Koszul syzygies of $< f_0, \ldots, f_M >$. The hypothesis says that outside the exceptional divisor of the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^N$

along $V(f_0,\dots,f_M)$ the graph of ϕ can be defined by equations of the form $\sum_{j=0}^{M} a_{j,l}(\mathbf{x})y_j = 0$ with $a_{j,l}(\mathbf{x})$ linear forms in the variables $\mathbf{x} = (x_0,\dots,x_N)$. Then the closure of the fiber over a point $\mathbf{q} \in \phi(\mathbb{P}^N)$ is the scheme inside $\mathbb{P}^N \times \mathbf{q}$ given by the linear equations $\sum_{j=0}^{M} a_{j,l}(\mathbf{x})q_j = 0$, proving the claim. □

Let us recall the following important definition.

Definition 1.3. Let X be an equidimensional reduced scheme in $\mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$ of dimension n. The scheme X is called *a (generalized) variety with one apparent double point*, briefly *OADP variety*, if through a general point of $\mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$ there passes a unique secant line to X, that is a unique line cutting X scheme-theoretically in a reduced length two scheme.

The name *OADP* variety is usually reserved for the irreducible reduced scheme satisfying the previous condition and it comes from the fact that the projection of X from a general point into $\mathbb{P}^{2n}$ acquires a unique singular point, which is *double*, in the sense that its tangent cone is a reducible quadric of rank 2.

Let $\mathbb{G}(k,n)$ denote the Grassmannian of k-dimensional linear subspaces in $\mathbb{P}^n$. The *abstract secant variety S_X of a variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$* is the restriction of the universal family of $\mathbb{G}(1,2n+1)$ to the closure of the image of the rational map which associates to a couple of distinct points of $X \times X$ the line spanned by them. If X is a OADP variety, by definition the tautological morphism $p : S_X \to \mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$ is birational so that, by Zariski's Main Theorem, the locus of points of $\mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$ through which there passes more than one secant line has codimension at least two in $\mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$. The upshot is that any cubic hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$ containing a OADP variety is birational to the symmetric product $X^{(2)}$ if X is irreducible, or to the product of two irreducible components of X if it is reducible (see *e.g.* [Ru]). By definition, *the secant variety to the variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$* is $p(S_X) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$.

We define *the join $S(X,Y)$ of two varieties $X = X_1 \cup \ldots \cup X_r \subset \mathbb{P}^M$ and $Y = Y_1 \cup \ldots \cup Y_s \subset \mathbb{P}^M$*, with each X_i and Y_j irreducible for every $i = 1,\dots,r$ and for every $j = 1,\dots,s$, by first defining the join of two irreducible components as

$$S(X_i,Y_j) = \overline{\bigcup_{x \neq y,\, x \in X_i,\, y \in Y_j} < x, y >} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^M,$$

and finally letting

$$S(X,Y) = \bigcup_{i,j} S(X_i,Y_j) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^M.$$

Clearly $\dim(S(X_i,Y_j)) \leq \min\{\dim(X_i) + \dim(Y_j) + 1, M\}$. Moreover, with these definitions we have that $SX := S(X,X)$ is exactly the secant variety to X defined above.

Let us state an interesting consequence of the two previous results and of the definition of generalized OADP variety.

Corollary 1.4. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a non degenerate reduced algebraic set scheme-theoretically defined by quadratic forms such that their Koszul syzygies are generated by linear syzygies. If through a general point of $\mathbb{P}^N$ there passes a finite number of secant lines to X, then $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is a generalized OADP variety.*

In particular a small algebraic set $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ such that through a general point of $\mathbb{P}^N$ there passes a finite number of secant lines to X is a generalized OADP variety.

Proof. Since X is scheme-theoretically defined by quadratic forms the map ϕ defined in Proposition 1.2 contracts secant lines to X to points. Thus the closure of the fiber of ϕ passing through a general point $p \in \mathbb{P}^N$ is a linear space L_p cutting X along a quadric hypersurface in L_p by Proposition 1.2. Then $\dim(L_p) = 1$ because otherwise through p would pass infinitely many secant lines to X contrary to our assumption. In conclusion L_p is the unique secant line to X passing through p. □

Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ be a smooth cubic hypersurface, briefly a smooth cubic 4-fold. Let $S_1, S_2 \subset X$ be two smooth surfaces such that the scheme-theoretic intersection $S_1 \cap S_2$ contains a smooth curve C of degree d and genus g.

We calculate the intersection multiplicity along C of $S_1 \cdot S_2$ as cycles inside X under the previous hypothesis.

By [Fu, Proposition 9.1.1, third formula] we have

$$\mathrm{mult}_C(S_1 \cdot S_2) = c_1(N_{S_1/X|C}) \cdot c_1(T_{S_2|C})^{-1} \cdot c_1(T_C) \cap C. \tag{1}$$

For an arbitrary smooth variety Y we shall indicate by K_Y the canonical class (or canonical divisor) of Y. We have $c_1(T_C) \cap C = 2 - 2g(C)$ and $c_1(T_{S_2|C})^{-1} \cap C = K_{S_2} \cdot C$.

From the exact sequence

$$0 \to T_{S_1|C} \to T_{X|C} \to N_{S_1/X|C} \to 0,$$

we get

$$c_1(N_{S_1/X|C}) \cap C = c_1(T_{X|C}) \cap C + c_1(T_{S_1|C})^{-1} \cap C = -K_X \cdot C + K_{S_2} \cdot C = 3d + K_{S_2} \cdot C.$$

By combining the previous calculation with (1) we deduce

$$\mathrm{mult}_C(S_1 \cdot S_2) = 3d + K_{S_1} \cdot C + K_{S_2} \cdot C + 2 - 2g(C). \tag{2}$$

2. CUBIC HYPERSURFACES IN $\mathcal{C}_{14}$

2.1. **Fano's construction revisited and rationality of cubics in $\mathcal{C}_{14} \setminus \mathcal{C}_8$.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the moduli space of smooth cubic hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^5$, which is a quasi-projective variety of dimension 20. For generalities on this space see [Has00].

Let us recall from [Has00, Sect. 4] that $\mathcal{C}_{14} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ is defined as the locus of smooth cubic hypersurfaces $X \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ containing an effective 2-dimensional cycle T such that $T^2 = 10$ and $T \cdot h^2 = 4$, where h is the cycle of a hyperplane section of X.

The locus $\mathcal{C}_{14}$ is easily seen to be equal to the closure of smooth cubic hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^5$ containing a smooth rational normal scroll of degree 4. Indeed if $T \subset X$ is a smooth quartic rational normal scroll, then $T^2 = 10$ as a cycle on X by the self-intersection formula and $T \cdot h^2 = \deg(T) = 4$.

The rationality of cubic hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^5$ often depends on the fact that they contain a OADP surface, irreducible or reducible. Indeed in this case, as it is well known and easy to prove, the cubic hypersurface is birational to the symmetric product of an irreducible OADP surface or to the ordinary product of two distinct irreducible components of a OADP surface, whose *secant join* fills the whole space. Examples of surfaces with one apparent double point are: smooth rational normal scrolls of degree 4; smooth quintic del Pezzo surfaces; their projective degenerations whose secant variety (or *join*) fills the whole space; the union of two disjoint planes.

There are two types of smooth quartic rational normal scroll surfaces: $S(2,2)$, projectively generated by two conics in skew planes and isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_0 = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and $S(1,3)$, projectively generated by a line and a twisted cubic and isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_2$. The first type is the most general one and it depends on 29 parameters while the second type depends on 28 parameters. The application of Proposition 1.2 to a smooth quartic rational normal scroll yields the following result, which is easy and quite well known.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $T \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ be a smooth quartic rational normal scroll and let $\psi : \mathbb{P}^5 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^5$ be the rational map defined by the linear system $|H^0(\mathcal{I}_T(2))|$. Then:*

a) $Q = \overline{\psi(\mathbb{P}^5)} \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ is a smooth quadric hypersurface.

b) the closure of a general fiber of ψ is a secant line to T.

c) the closure of a fiber of dimension greater than one is a plane cutting T along a conic.

An explicit birational representation of a smooth cubic hypersurface containing a smooth quartic rational normal scroll T has been described by Fano, see [Fa] and also [AR, Section 4], and by Tregub, see [Tr]. We will now give a sketch of a modern proof of Fano's result, inspired by [AR, Section 4].

Theorem 2.2. ([Fa]) *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ be a smooth cubic hypersurface containing a smooth quartic rational normal scroll T. Then the restriction of ψ to X induces a birational map onto a smooth quadric hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^5$.*

Let $\widetilde{\psi} : \mathrm{Bl}_T X \to Q$ be the induced birational morphism. Then:

a) *The images in X of the positive dimensional fibers of $\widetilde{\psi}$ are either secant (or tangent) lines to T contained in X or (a finite number of) planes spanned by conics contained in T.*

b) *the map $\widetilde{\psi}^{-1} : Q \dashrightarrow X$ is not defined along an irreducible surface S' whose singular points are the images of the planes spanned by conics of T and contained in X. In particular, the cubic hypersurface X contains a two dimensional family of secant lines to T.*

c) *If X does not contain any plane spanned by a conic contained in T, then $S' \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ is a smooth surface of degree 10 and sectional genus 7, which is the projection from a tangent plane of a smooth K3 surface $S \subset \mathbb{P}^8$ of degree 14 and sectional genus 8. The surface S' is isomorphic to the Hilbert scheme of secant lines to T contained in X. The conic $C \subset S'$, image of the exceptional divisor on the blow-up of S via tangential projection, is also the image via ψ of the secant lines to T lying in the planes generated by the conics contained in T.*

Proof. The first claim and the conclusions in a) and b) are straightforward applications of Proposition 2.1 after remarking that by Zariski's Main Theorem the locus of points of $\mathbb{P}^5$ through which there passes more than one secant line to T has codimension at least 2.

Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ be the locus given by the linear spans of the conics contained in T. Since the conics vary in a pencil, the three dimensional variety Σ is a rational normal scroll of degree three, which is either projectively equivalent to a Segre 3-fold $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ if $T \simeq S(2,2)$, or to a cone over a twisted cubic with vertex a line if $T \simeq S(1,3)$ (in the last case the vertex of the cone is the directrix line of T).

If a plane spanned by a (not necessarily irreducible) conic contained in T is not contained in X, then it intersects X along the conic plus a line that is thus secant to T.

Thus these lines describe a rational scroll of degree five Z contained in X, linked to T inside Σ via X and whose image via ψ is a conic $C \subset S'$.

Under the hypothesis of c) one immediately sees that S' is a smooth surface and that the restriction of $\widetilde{\psi} : \mathrm{Bl}_T X \to Q$ to $E = \widetilde{\psi}^{-1}(S') \to S'$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over S' whose image in X, let us say M, is the locus of secant lines to T contained in X. From this it follows that S' is isomorphic to the Hilbert scheme of secant lines to T contained in X. For the geometrical description of S' as the tangential projection of S we refer to [Fa] or to [AR, Theorem 4.3], where it is also proved that M is divisor in $|\mathcal{O}_X(5)|$ having triple points along T. □

Let $T \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ be a smooth quartic rational normal scroll of type $S(2,2)$ which depends on 29 parameters. Since for any smooth quartic rational normal scroll $T \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ we have $\dim(|H^0(\mathcal{I}_T(3))|) = 27$, in order to calculate the dimension of $\mathcal{C}_{14}$ we need to estimate the dimension of the Hilbert scheme $\mathcal{T}$ of (degenerations of) smooth quartic rational normal scrolls contained in a general $X \in \mathcal{C}_{14}$. This parameter count shows that $\dim(\mathcal{T}) \geq 1$ since $\dim(|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^5}(3)|) = 55$ and that $\mathrm{codim}(\mathcal{C}_{14}, \mathcal{C}) = \dim(\mathcal{T}) - 1$. We shall immediately prove, that $\dim(\mathcal{T}) = 2$ without appealing to abstract deformation theory of T inside X.

First we need a quite striking result which was claimed without proof by Fano in [Fa] and which is a key ingredient to prove geometrically that $\dim(\mathcal{T}) \leq 2$.

Lemma 2.3. ([Fa, top of page 77]) *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ be a smooth cubic hypersurface and let $T_1, T_2 \subset X$ be two algebraically equivalent smooth quartic rational normal scrolls, belonging to the same irreducible family of cycles and intersecting in a finite number of points. Then there exists a unique rank 4 quadric $W \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ containing $T_1 \cup T_2$ whose vertex is a secant line to T_1 and to T_2 contained in X.*

Proof. Let $Y = T_1 \cap T_2$ and recall that Y is a cycle of length 10 by the self intersection formula for $T_i \subset X$. We can suppose that $T_1 \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is embedded in $\mathbb{P}^5$ by $|H^0(\mathcal{O}_{T_1}(1,2))|$, that is $T_1 \simeq S(2,2)$ (the case $T_1 \simeq S(1,3)$ is similar and left to the reader). The quadric hypersurfaces defining T_2 restrict to divisors in $|H^0(\mathcal{O}_{T_1}(2,4))|$ and $T_2 \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ is 2-regular since it is a minimal degree surface.

By restricting the resolution of the ideal sheaf of T_2 to T_1, one obtains a complex *resolving* the sheaf $\mathcal{I}_{Y,T_1}$. By passing to short exact sequences, one deduces $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{Y,T_1}(2,4)) = 0$, that is: Y imposes independent conditions to $|H^0(\mathcal{O}_{T_1}(2,4))|$. Therefore we have:

$$5 = h^0(\mathcal{O}_{T_1}(2,4)) - \deg(Y) = h^0(\mathcal{I}_{Y,T_1}(2,4)) \geq h^0(\mathcal{I}_{T_2,\mathbb{P}^5}(2)) - h^0(\mathcal{I}_{T_1 \cup T_2,\mathbb{P}^5}(2)),$$

yielding

$$h^0(\mathcal{I}_{T_1 \cup T_2,\mathbb{P}^5}(2)) \geq 1. \tag{3}$$

Let $\psi = \psi_1 : \mathbb{P}^5 \dashrightarrow Q \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ be the birational map defined in Proposition 2.1. Then T_2 is mapped birationally to a degree 6 irreducible surface $\psi(T_2) \subset Q \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ which is degenerate in $\mathbb{P}^5$ by (3). Thus we have $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{T_1\cup T_2,\mathbb{P}^5}(2)) = 1$. Let $W \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ be the unique quadric hypersurface containing $T_1 \cup T_2$.

Let $Q' \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ a smooth quadric hypersurface and let $Z \subset Q'$ be a smooth surface. Then, letting h be the class of a hyperplane section on Z, the self-intersection formula for Z on Q' yields

$$Z^2 = 7h^2 + 4h \cdot K_Z + 2K_Z^2 - 12\chi(\mathcal{O}_Z).$$

Thus $W \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ is not of maximal rank because $T_i^2 = 10$ is incompatible with the previous self-intersection formula. A computation as in [EHP, Proposition 2.2] shows that W cannot be of rank 5. Therefore $W \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ is of rank 3 or 4. Since T_1 and T_2 are contained in W and they are distinct we deduce that W has rank 4.

Let us set $W := S(L, \hat{Q})$. By this we mean the quadric obtained as a cone whose vertex is a line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ and whose base is a smooth quadric surface $\hat{Q} \subset \langle \hat{Q} \rangle = \mathbb{P}^3 \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ such that $L \cap \langle \hat{Q} \rangle = \emptyset$. Then L is a secant line to T_1 and T_2 since these surfaces project from L onto $\hat{Q}$. Thus $L \subset X$ since L intersects X in at least four points. $\square$

We now come to one of the gems in Fano's paper [Fa, pages 75–76]. As far as we know this geometrical construction has not been yet translated into modern geometrical language despite its huge number of citations. Let us remark that, obviously, Fano did not state the next result in this precise form. Indeed, there he proves the following: *La* M^6 *intersezione di una* V_4^3 *contenente una* R (our T) *col* S_1*-cono quadrico che proietta questa da una sua corda* r *appartenente alla* V_4^3 *contiene una seconda rigata del* 4^o *ordine* ρ, see *loc. cit.*

Theorem 2.4. *Let* $X \in \mathcal{C}_{14}$*, let* $T \subset X$ *be a smooth quartic rational normal scroll, let* $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ *be the rational normal scroll given by the pencil of planes spanned by the conics contained in* T *and let* $\mathcal{T}$ *be the closure of the family of quartic rational normal scrolls contained in* X*, that is the Hilbert scheme of rational normal scrolls contained in* X*.*

Then $\dim(\mathcal{T}) = 2$ *and the unique irreducible component of the family* $\mathcal{T}$ *containing* T *is an irreducible surface* S *birational to the Hilbert scheme of secant lines to* T *contained in* X*.*

In particular, if X *does not contain any plane of* Σ*, then the surface* $S \subset \mathbb{P}^8$ *is a smooth* $K3$ *surface of degree 14 and genus 8, which is obtained by* S'*, the Hilbert scheme of secant lines to* T *contained in* X*, by contracting the conic* $C \subset S'$ *parametrizing secant lines to* T *contained in the scroll* Σ*.*

Proof. By the above parameter count we know that $\dim(\mathcal{T}) \geq 1$. The self-intersection formula on X yields $T^2 = 10$ so that for every $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{T}$, we have $T_1 \cdot T_2 = 10$. Moreover, the surface S' defined in Theorem 2.2 naturally parametrizes via $\widetilde{\psi}$ the secant (or tangent) lines to $T = T_1$ contained in X. By part c) of Theorem 2.2, the surface S' is smooth if X does not contain any plane of Σ. Hence, the claims about S are straightforward.

Let $L \subset X$ be a proper secant line to T, not belonging to the scroll Z residual to T in $\Sigma \cap X$, see the proof of Theorem 2.2 for the definitions. By projecting T from L, we deduce that $T \subset W = S(L, \hat{Q}) \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ with $\hat{Q} = \pi_L(T) \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ a smooth quadric surface. Let $\Lambda_i = \mathbb{P}^1$, $i = 1, 2$, be the parameter spaces of the two ruling of lines contained in $\hat{Q}$.

Then, letting

$$\mathbb{P}^3_\lambda := S(L, L_\lambda),\ \ \lambda \in \Lambda_1,\ \ L_\lambda \subset \hat{Q};$$

$$\mathbb{P}^3_\mu := S(L, L_\mu),\ \ \mu \in \Lambda_2,\ \ L_\mu \subset \hat{Q},$$

we can define two pencils of cubic surfaces

$$F_\lambda = \mathbb{P}^3_\lambda \cap X,\ \ \lambda \in \Lambda_1$$

and

$$G_\mu = \mathbb{P}^3_\mu \cap X,\ \ \mu \in \Lambda_2.$$

Modulo a renumbering, we can also suppose that, for general $\lambda \in \Lambda_1$ and for general $\mu \in \Lambda_2$, we have that

$$\mathbb{P}^3_\lambda \cap T = L'_\lambda \subset T$$

is a line of the ruling of T and that

$$\mathbb{P}^3_\mu \cap T = C_\mu \subset T$$

is a twisted cubic curve having L has a secant line.

Let $\widetilde{L}_\mu \subset G_\mu$ be the unique line contained in the smooth cubic surface G_μ which is skew with L and with C_μ. Let us set

$$\widetilde{T}_L := \bigcup_{\mu \in \Lambda_2} \widetilde{L}_\mu \subset X \cap W.$$

Then $\widetilde{T}_L \subset X$ is a rational scroll such that $\pi_L(\widetilde{L}_\mu) = \pi_L(C_\mu) = L_\mu$ is a line for μ general. By varying the line $L \subset X$ secant to T, we can construct a two dimensional family of such surfaces, whose general member is a rational scroll. Among the secant lines of T contained in X, there exists a one dimensional family describing the quintic rational scroll $Z \subset X$, consisting of secant lines to T contained in a plane spanned by a conic in T. By degenerating a general secant line L to a special secant line L' to T contained in Z, the quadric W degenerates to a rank three quadric hypersurface whose vertex is the plane spanned by the conic to which L' is secant. In this degeneration the scroll $\widetilde{T}_L$ degenerates to T. Thus the family just constructed consists of two dimensional cycles algebraically equivalent to T, proving $\dim(\mathcal{T}) \geq 2$. Therefore, for a general secant line L to T, the rational scroll $\widetilde{T}_L \subset X$ is a smooth quartic rational normal scroll such that $C'_\lambda = \mathbb{P}^3_\lambda \cap \widetilde{T}_L$ is a twisted cubic having L as a secant line and such that $\widetilde{L}_\mu = \mathbb{P}^3_\mu \cap \widetilde{T}_L$ is the line defined above. Therefore $\widetilde{T}_L$ and T have opposite behavior with respect to the intersection with the two pencils $\{\mathbb{P}^3_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda_1}$ and $\{\mathbb{P}^3_\mu\}_{\mu \in \Lambda_2}$.

By applying Lemma 2.3 to T and a general arbitrary $T' \in \mathcal{T}$ in the same irreducible component of to which T belongs, we conclude that T' is obtained from T by the previous geometrical construction yielding $\dim(\mathcal{T}) = 2$. Moreover, by the previous description of the deformation, it is clear that the secant lines to T contained in Σ produce the same scroll T so that the irreducible component of $\mathcal{T}$ containing T is isomorphic to the blow-down of the conic $C \subset S'$, with $S' \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ the Hilbert scheme of secant lines to T contained in X. In conclusion, if X does not contain any plane spanned by conics in T, the irreducible component of $\mathcal{T}$ containing T is isomorphic to a smooth $K3$ surface S as stated. □

Remark 2.5. Addington and Lehn show the existence of a two dimensional family of quartic rational normal scrolls parametrized by a smooth $K3$ surface S as above for a generic Pfaffian cubic in [AL, Section 2] via linear algebra, expanding the details of the construction drafted by Beauville and Donagi in [BD, Remarques (1)]. For such a generic Pfaffian cubic they prove that each member of the family is an irreducible small surface by exhibiting an explicit resolution for each member of the family, see [AL, Section 2]. Below we shall generalize this fact to every $X \in \mathcal{C}_{14} \setminus \mathcal{C}_8$.

The next result, which is probably well known to the experts in the field, seems to have not been explicitly stated and/or proved till now. As we shall see later in Theorem 3.5, the locus of $X \in \mathcal{C}_{14}$ containing a quartic rational normal scroll is not open in $\mathcal{C}_{14}$.

Theorem 2.6. *Every $X \in \mathcal{C}_{14} \setminus (\mathcal{C}_8 \cap \mathcal{C}_{14})$ contains a smooth quartic rational normal scroll and hence it is rational. Moreover, the locus of smooth quartic rational normal scrolls contained in such a X is a smooth projective surface, whose irreducible components are isomorphic to a smooth $K3$ surface $S \subset \mathbb{P}^8$ of degree 14 and genus 8, which is a linear section of $\mathbb{G}(1,5) \subset \mathbb{P}^{14}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the irreducible component of the Hilbert scheme of $\mathbb{P}^5$ whose general member is a smooth quartic rational normal scroll. Every element $[T] \in \mathcal{H}$ has degree four, dimension 2 and Hilbert polynomial equal to $2t^2+3t+1$, being a flat projective deformation of a smooth quartic rational normal scroll in $\mathbb{P}^5$.

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}} \subset \mathbb{P}^{55} = \mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^5}(3)))$ be the open subset parametrizing smooth cubic hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^5$. Let

$$\overline{\mathcal{C}_{14}} = \{([T],[X]) \in \mathcal{H} \times \widetilde{\mathcal{C}} \ \ : \ \ T \subset X\},$$

let $\pi_1 : \overline{\mathcal{C}_{14}} \to \mathcal{H}$ be the restriction to $\overline{\mathcal{C}_{14}}$ of the first projection and let $\pi_2 : \overline{\mathcal{C}_{14}} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}$ be the restriction to $\overline{\mathcal{C}_{14}}$ of the second projection.

Recall that $\dim(\mathcal{H}) = 29$ and that for a general $[T] \in \mathcal{H}$ we have that $\pi_1^{-1}([T])$ is an open subset of $\mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathcal{I}_T(3))) = \mathbb{P}^{27}$. Thus $\overline{\mathcal{C}_{14}}$ contains an irreducible component W of dimension 56 dominating $\mathcal{H}$. Moreover W is a closed subset of $\mathcal{H}\times\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}$ so that $\pi_2(W)$ is a closed irreducible subset of $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}$ of dimension 54. In fact, we have seen that the family of quartic rational normal scrolls contained in a general $[X] \in \pi_2(W)$ is two dimensional.

Thus the image of $\pi_2(W)$ in $\mathcal{C}$ is exactly $\mathcal{C}_{14}$, which is irreducible–a well known fact–and a divisor in $\mathcal{C}$ [Has00]. In particular, for every $X \in \mathcal{C}_{14}$ let $\mathcal{T} = \pi_1(\pi_2^{-1}([X])) \subset \mathcal{H}$. We claim that if $[X] \in \mathcal{C}_{14} \setminus (\mathcal{C}_8 \cap \mathcal{C}_{14})$, then every $T_0 \in \mathcal{T}$ is a smooth quartic rational normal scroll.

By definition of $\mathcal{H}$ we can suppose that there exists a one dimensional family $\{T_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in C}$, $[T_\lambda] \in \mathcal{H}$, where C is a smooth analytic curve (small disk), such that $0 \in C$ and T_λ is a smooth quartic rational normal scroll for every $\lambda \in C \setminus 0$. Thus each irreducible component of T_0 has dimension two and it is covered by lines, the limits of the lines of the ruling of T_λ. Since X does not contains planes (and hence quadric surfaces) by hypothesis, we deduce that every $T_0 \in \mathcal{T}$ is irreducible and generically reduced.

Let us use the same notation as above. Fix a general point $p \in \mathbb{P}^5$ and let l_λ, $\lambda \neq 0$, be the unique secant line to T_λ passing through p. A limit line l_0 intersects T_0 along a scheme whose length is at least two. In particular T_0 is a non-degenerate scheme in $\mathbb{P}^5$ by the generality of p.

If T_0 is reduced, then T_0 is an irreducible non-degenerate surface in $\mathbb{P}^5$ of degree four having a secant/tangent line passing through a general point $p \in \mathbb{P}^5$. Thus it is either a smooth quartic rational normal scroll or a cone over a quartic rational normal curve (in the last case the limit line l_0 would necessarily be the line through p and the vertex of the cone. The surface T_0 cannot be a cone because in this case the tangent space to T_0 at its vertex would be $\mathbb{P}^5$, forcing the singularity of X at the vertex of T_0. Thus, if T_0 is reduced, then it is a smooth quartic rational normal scroll, as claimed.

Suppose T_0 is not reduced. The scheme T_0 is irreducible and generically reduced and has Hilbert polynomial equal to $2t^2 + 3t + 1$ so that $R_0 = (T_0)_{\text{red}}$ is an irreducible surface of degree four in $\mathbb{P}^5$, which is degenerated. Otherwise R_0 would be a surface of minimal degree in $\mathbb{P}^5$ which has Hilbert polynomial $2t^2 + 3t + 1$ and it would coincide with T_0, which is non reduced by hypothesis. Moreover, R_0 is covered by lines, which are limits of the lines covering T_λ. From this it follows that R_0 is an external projection of a quartic rational normal scroll $S_0 \subset \mathbb{P}^5$.

If S_0 were a cone over a smooth quartic rational normal curve, then T_0 would be non reduced only at the point $p_0 \in R_0$ which is the image of the vertex of S_0. The limit secant line l_0 through a general point $p \in \mathbb{P}^5$ introduced above would be necessarily tangent to T_0 at p_0. The generality of p would yield that the tangent space to T_0 at p_0 is the whole space $\mathbb{P}^5$, showing that such a T_0 cannot be contained in X. If $S_0 \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ were a smooth quartic rational normal scroll, then, by Proposition 1.2, R_0 is either a general projection of S_0 or the external projection of S_0 from a point on the scroll Σ generated by the planes spanned by the pencil of conics on S_0. In the first case, there is a unique non reduced point $p_0 \in T_0$ supported at the unique singular point of R_0. Proceeding as above, we deduce that $T_{p_0}T_0 = \mathbb{P}^5$ and hence T_0 cannot be contained in X. If R_0 is the projection of S_0 from a point of Σ, then T_0 has embedded points along the line $L = \operatorname{Sing}(R_0)$. The tangent space at each point of L has dimension four and intersects $\mathbb{P}^4 = \langle R_0 \rangle$ along a $\mathbb{P}^3$. If such a T_0 were contained in X, then the intersection $X \cap \langle R_0 \rangle$ would be a cubic hypersurface in $\langle R_0 \rangle$, containing R_0 and non singular along L. This is impossible, as shown for example by a direct calculation, so that T_0 is necessarily reduced.

In conclusion, the family of smooth quartic rational normal scrolls contained in $X \in \mathcal{C}_{14} \backslash \mathcal{C}_8$ is not-empty and proper so that it coincides with $\mathcal{T}$. Moreover, each irreducible component of $\mathcal{T}$ is isomorphic to the $K3$ surface S described in the statement of Theorem 2.4. $\square$

Let S be a smooth projective irreducible surface. Let $S^{[2]}$ be the Hilbert scheme of length two subschemes of S, which is a smooth irreducible projective variety of dimension 4. One can also describe $S^{[2]}$ as the blow-up of the symmetric product $S^{(2)}$ along the image of the diagonal $\Delta_S \subset S \times S$, yielding a birational morphism $\varphi : S^{[2]} \to S^{(2)}$. Let $E = \varphi^{-1}(\Delta_S)$ and let $(p,p) \in \Delta_S$. Then $\varphi^{-1}((p,p)) \simeq \mathbb{P}(t_pS)$, where t_pS is the affine tangent space to S at p, i.e. E is the union of the exceptional divisors of the blow-up's of S at each point $p \in S$.

Corollary 2.7. *Let $X \in \mathcal{C}_{14} \setminus \mathcal{C}_8$, let S be the smooth $K3$ surface of degree 14 and genus 8 parametrizing smooth quartic rational normal scrolls contained in X and let $S^{[2]}$ be the Hilbert scheme of length two subschemes of S. Then the Hilbert scheme of lines contained in X is isomorphic to $S^{[2]}$.*

Proof. By definition of Hilbert scheme, each point $p \in S$ corresponds to a unique smooth quartic rational normal scroll $T_p \subset X$ and, by Theorem 2.2, the Hilbert scheme of secant lines to T_p contained in X is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Bl}_p S$. Under this isomorphism the secant lines to T_p contained in Σ correspond to the exceptional divisor $\mathbb{P}(t_pS) \simeq \varphi^{-1}((p,p))$.

We now prove that the Hilbert scheme $F(X)$ of lines contained in X can be interpreted as the parameter space of secant lines to the family of smooth rational normal scrolls contained in X in a natural way, yielding a natural interpretation of its isomorphism with $S^{[2]}$.

Under the perspective of the deformation described in the proof of Theorem 2.4, we can consider $S^{[2]}$ as the Hilbert scheme parametrizing *couples* of smooth quartic rational normal scrolls contained in X. If a point $[(p_1,p_2)] \in S^{[2]}$ corresponds to two distinct T_{p_1}, T_{p_2} contained in X, we can associate to $[(p_1,p_2)]$ the vertex of the unique rank four quadric surface containing two distinct quartic rational normal scrolls T_{p_1}, T_{p_2}, see Lemma 2.3. This extends to a morphism from $S^{[2]}$ to the Hilbert scheme of lines contained in X in the following way. A length two subscheme $\mathbf{T} \in \varphi^{-1}((p,p))$ can be seen as a limit of $[(T_p, T_{p'})] \in S^{[2]}$ with $T_p \neq T_{p'}$. Thus there exists a unique rank three quadric surface determined by the degeneration of $T_{p'}$ to T_p, see proof of Theorem 2.4. Then this plane cuts T_p along a conic and a line L. By mapping $\mathbf{T}$ to L we get a morphism $\phi : S^{[2]} \to F(X)$.

If $[L] \in F(X)$ is a line cutting a smooth quartic rational normal scroll $T \subset X$ in two distinct points not lying on a plane spanned by a conic contained in T, then $\phi^{-1}(L)$ consists of a point $[(p,p')]$ with $p' \neq p$ and with $T_{p'}$ the unique quartic rational normal scroll, distinct from $T = T_p$, produced by applying to T and L the construction used in the proof of Theorem 2.4. Thus ϕ is birational onto the image and $\phi(S^{[2]}) = F(X)$, that is every line contained in X is a secant line to some $T = T_p$, $p \in S$. If $[L] \in F(X)$ is not as above, then $L \subset X$ lies in some plane spanned by a conic contained in some $T = T_p \subset X$ and $\phi^{-1}([L])$ is a unique point in $\varphi^{-1}((p,p))$. In conclusion ϕ induces an isomorphism between $S^{[2]}$ and $F(X)$. □

The previous analysis is nothing more than a geometrical description/interpretation (and a small refinement) of the well known Beauville-Donagi isomorphism for Pfaffian cubic hypersurfaces, see [Be, Proposition 5, part (i)]. We have essentially shown that the Beauville-Donagi isomorphism can be naturally deduced from Fano's deformation argument and that it holds on the open subset $\mathcal{C}_{14} \setminus \mathcal{C}_8$.

3. Irreducible components of $\mathcal{C}_{14} \cap \mathcal{C}_8$ and the Pfaffian locus in $\mathcal{C}_{14}$

Let $\mathcal{C}'_\beta$ be the subset of $\mathcal{C}_{14} \cap \mathcal{C}_8$ consisting of smooth cubic hypersurfaces $X \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ containing a smooth quintic del Pezzo surface S and a plane P such that $S \cdot P = \beta$.

Let h^2 be the class of a smooth cubic surface $W \subset X$, intersection of X with a general $\mathbb{P}^3 \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ and let $T = 3h^2 - S$.

Since $h^4 = 3$, $h^2 \cdot S = 5$ and $S^2 = 13$, we get $T \cdot h^2 = 4$, $T^2 = 10$ and $T \cdot P = 3 - \beta$. For $X \in \mathcal{C}'_\beta$ we can consider T as *the class of a quartic rational normal scroll inside* X. Moreover, it is not difficult to see that $T \in \mathcal{H}$. Since T is contained in a smooth cubic hypersurface we shall see in a moment that, more precisely, T is a small equidimensional surface in $\mathbb{P}^5$, which is an OADP variety, see the proof of Theorem 3.3 below.

Let $\mathcal{C}''_\tau$ be the subset of $\mathcal{C}_{14} \cap \mathcal{C}_8$ consisting of smooth cubic hypersurfaces $X \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ containing a smooth quartic rational normal scroll T and a plane P such that $T \cdot P = \tau$ and let $S = 3h^2 - T$. For $X \in \mathcal{C}''_\tau$ we can consider S as *the class of a quintic del Pezzo surface inside*

X. As above the class S belongs to the Hilbert scheme of quintic del Pezzo surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^5$ but it is not necessarily a smooth quintic del Pezzo surface.

Let $A(X)$ denote the lattice of algebraic 2-cycles on X up to rational equivalence and let d_X be the discriminant of the intersection form on $A(X)$.

Example 3.1. ($\mathcal{C}'_\beta = \emptyset = \mathcal{C}''_\tau$ for $\beta < 0$, $\tau < 0$, $\beta > 3$ and $\tau > 3$). If $\beta < 0$, then the cycle $P \cdot S$ has to contain a curve. Since S is defined by quadratic equations the scheme-theoretic intersection $P \cap S$ is either a line $L \subset S$ or a conic $C \subset S$. Since $K_S \cdot L = -1$ and $K_S \cdot C = -2$, we deduce from (2): $P \cdot S = 1$, respectively $P \cdot S = 0$, contrary to our assumption. The argument for $\mathcal{C}''_\tau$ with negative τ is identical and will be omitted.

The closure of the locus of smooth cubic hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^5$ containing a pair of skew planes is irreducible, has codimension 2, see [Tr], and it will be indicated by $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{-1}$. A general $X \in \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{-1}$ contains classes of rational normal scrolls of degree 4, consisting of the union of two general quadrics each one residual to one of the planes, and classes of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 5. Such an X contains no smooth quartic rational normal scroll and no smooth quintic del Pezzo surfaces, as first remarked in [Tr].

Indeed, for such a general X we have $\mathrm{rk}(A(X)) = 3$ and $d_X = 21$. If $T \subset X$ were a smooth quartic rational normal scroll, respectively if $S \subset X$ were a smooth quintic del Pezzo surface, then an easy direct computation shows that $d_X = 21$ would imply $X \in \mathcal{C}''_{-1}$, respectively $X \in \mathcal{C}'_{-1}$, which is impossible, as shown above. See in particular Theorem 3.5 below for further details on these computations and for the implications on the Pfaffian locus.

Since T, respectively S, is scheme-theoretically defined by quadratic equations whose first syzygies are generated by the linear ones, we deduce that $\tau \leq 3$, respectively $\beta \leq 3$, since this property clearly passes to the scheme-theoretic intersection with the plane P.

Example 3.2. ($\mathcal{C}'_\beta \neq \emptyset$ for $\beta \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$) By a direct computation one shows that there exists a smooth cubic hypersurface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ containing a smooth quintic del Pezzo surface S and a plane P such that $S \cap P$ is a scheme of length β consisting exactly of β reduced points, see Example A.1 in Appendix A . Let $\mathcal{P}f \subset \mathcal{C}_{14}$ be the subset of pfaffian cubics, that is cubic hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^5$ admitting an equation given by the Pfaffian of a 6×6 anti-symmetric matrix of linear forms. By [Be, Proposition 9.2, part (i)] the set $\mathcal{P}f \subset \mathcal{C}_{14}$ consists exactly of cubic four folds containing a smooth quintic del Pezzo surface. Moreover by [Be, Proposition 9.2, part (ii)] the closure of $\mathcal{P}f$ in $\mathcal{C}$ is irreducible of dimension 19 and hence it coincides with $\mathcal{C}_{14}$. In particular $\mathcal{P}f$ is dense in $\mathcal{C}_{14}$.

Therefore $\mathcal{C}'_\beta \cap \mathcal{P}f \neq \emptyset$ for $\beta \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ and every element in these sets is rational. Moreover the closure of each set $\mathcal{C}'_\beta \cap \mathcal{P}f$ consists of components of $\mathcal{C}_8 \cap \mathcal{C}_{14}$, whose general member has discriminant described in the following table:

(4)

β	d_X	τ
0	29	3
1	36	2
2	37	1
3	32	0

Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ be a smooth cubic hypersurface containing a smooth quintic del Pezzo surface S and a plane P spanned by a conic $C \subset S$. Such examples exist, see [AR] and Example A.1 in Appendix A. Then $S \cdot P = 0$ by (2) so that $X \in \mathcal{C}'_0$ and $d_X = 29$. These examples are less general than those consisting of cubic hypersurfaces X containing a quintic del Pezzo surface S and a plane P' such that $P' \cap S = \emptyset$.

Indeed, in the previous case $S \cup P$ is scheme-theoretically defined by four quadratic equations being the complete intersection of a Segre 3-fold $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ containing S (union of the planes spanned by the pencil of conics to which $C = S \cap P$ belongs) with a quadric hypersurface through S and P. Thus a general cubic hypersurface containing $S \cup P$ is in the ideal generated by these four quadratic forms. On the contrary a general cubic hypersurface containing $S \cup P'$, P' general, belongs to the ideal generated by the five quadratic equations defining S.

We are now in position to give an alternative, geometrical and self-contained proof of the main result of [ABBV-A]. Moreover, we shall also show that every element in $\mathcal{C}_8 \cap \mathcal{C}_{14}$ is rational, a fact claimed only for the general element of some components in [ABBV-A]. This result, together with Theorem 2.6, will prove that every element in $\mathcal{C}_{14}$ is rational.

In fact, the rationality of the generic cubic contained in the component with $d_X = 32$ proposed in [ABBV-A] relied on the openness of the locus of Pfaffian cubics inside the divisor $\mathcal{C}_{14}$. Since Theorem 3.5 will show that the Pfaffian locus is not open, then we now fill in this gap and also simplify some arguments in [ABBV-A].

Theorem 3.3. *There are five irreducible components of $\mathcal{C}_8 \cap \mathcal{C}_{14}$ consisting of rational cubic hypersurfaces. The components are indexed by the value $P \cdot T \in \{-1, 0, 1, 2, 3\}$, where $P \subset X$ is a plane and T the class of a small OADP surface such that $T^2 = 10$ and $T \cdot h^2 = 4$.*

Proof. Let us keep the notation of the proof of Theorem 2.6, let $[X] \in \mathcal{C}_{14} \cap C_8$ and let $\mathcal{T} = \pi_1(\pi_2^{-1}([X])) \subset \mathcal{H}$ be the Hilbert scheme of (degenerations of) smooth quartic rational normal scrolls contained in X. Since a general cubic hypersurface in $\mathcal{C}_{14}$ contains a two dimensional family of smooth quartic rational normal scroll, we deduce that $\dim(\mathcal{T}) \geq 2$ by semicontinuity. Each element of $\mathcal{T}$ is a projective degeneration of a one dimensional family of smooth quartic rational normal scrolls so that every $[T] \in \mathcal{T}$ is equidimensional of dimension two. Moreover, each irreducible component of T is covered by lines which are the limits of the lines covering a general element in $\mathcal{H}$. Let us remark that every element in $\mathcal{T}$ could be reducible, as it happens, for example, for a general $X \in \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{-1}$. Moreover, we can repeat the same argument via the limit secant line we used in the proof of Theorem 2.6 in order to show that any $T_0 \in \mathcal{T}$ is also non-degenerate in $\mathbb{P}^5$ (as a scheme). We claim that a general element $T_0 \in \mathcal{T}$ is either a smooth rational normal scroll or a small reducible OADP surface, yielding in particular the rationality of X.

Suppose that T_0 is a reduced scheme. Then T_0 is a non-degenerate reduced surface of degree four. If T_0 is irreducible, then it is a smooth quartic rational normal scroll, as shown in the proof of Theorem 2.6. If T_0 is not irreducible, then it is the union of surfaces of degree lower or equal to three, all covered by lines. Thus T_0 can be the union of planes, quadric surfaces and rational scrolls of degree three. Since the Hilbert polynomial of a hyperplane section is $4t + 1$, a general hyperplane section of T_0 is the union of irreducible rational curves such that two irreducible components intersect at a point. Therefore the intersection of two irreducible components of T_0 occurs along a line. Since T_0 is also non-degenerate, the intersection of two irreducible components equals the intersection of the corresponding linear spans (otherwise $\langle T_0\rangle \subsetneq \mathbb{P}^5$). Thus $T_0 \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ is a linearly joined sequence of surfaces of minimal degree and hence a small surface by Theorem 1.1. Then T_0 is also a $OADP$ surface since through a general point of $\mathbb{P}^5$ there passes a secant/tangent line to T_0.

Assume $T_0 \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ is a non-reduced non-degenerate scheme. If T_0 is irreducible, then the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.6 shows that T_0 cannot be generically reduced. Thus $(T_0)_{\mathrm{red}}$ would be a (not necessarily irreducible) quadric surface Q_0 and T_0 would define the cycle $2Q_0$ inside X. The scheme T_0 can be obtained as a flat projective deformation of a one dimensional family $\{T_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in C} \subset \mathcal{H}$ of small OADP surfaces consisting of two quadric surfaces Q'_λ, Q''_λ intersecting along a line. Moreover, we can also suppose that $Q_0 = Q'_\lambda$ for every $\lambda \in C$. In particular, for every $\lambda \neq 0$ the surface T_λ is contained in smooth cubic hypersurfaces belonging to $\mathcal{C}_{14}$. Since $X \in \mathcal{C}_{14}$ we have $\pi_1^{-1}(T_0) \neq \emptyset$ so that $X \in W = \pi_2(\pi_1^{-1}(C)) \subset \mathcal{C}_{14}$. Thus we can suppose that $X = X_0$ is the limit of a flat family $\{X_\mu\}_{\mu\in D}$ with $X_\mu \in W$ for every $\mu \neq 0$. Let us point out that $X_\mu \in \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{-1}$ for every $\mu \neq 0$ since, by construction, a general element of W contains a cycle of the form $Q'_\lambda + Q''_\lambda$ for some $\lambda \in C \setminus 0$. Therefore there exist cycles $T_\mu = Q'_\mu + Q''_\mu = Q_0 + Q''_\mu$ inside X_μ such that $\mathrm{rk}(< h^2, T_\mu >) = 2$ and such that $\mathrm{rk}(< h^2, Q_0, Q''_\mu >) = 3$ for every $\mu \neq 0$. Moreover, the discriminant of $< h^2, T_\mu >$ is equal to 14 for every $\mu \neq 0$. If $P_0 \subset X = X_0$ is the unique plane such that $h^2 = P_0 + Q_0$, then $\mathrm{rk}(< h^2, P_0, T_\mu >) = \mathrm{rk}(< h^2, Q_0, Q''\mu >) = 3$ for every $\mu \neq 0$. Then $T_0 = 2Q_0 \in < h^2, P_0 >$ is in contrast with the semicontinuity of the rank of $A(X_\mu)$ over $\mathcal{C}$ (or with the fact that C_{14} is closed in $\mathcal{C}$). This proves that $\pi_1^{-1}(T_0) = \emptyset$, that is: every cubic hypersurface containing a reduced T_0 as above is singular. This fact can be also verified by a long computation, which shows that a general element in $\pi_1^{-1}(T_0)$ has three singular points. In an analogous way, one can prove that a non-reduced T_0 must be generically reduced along each irreducible component of $(T_0)_{\mathrm{red}}$.

From now on we can suppose that T_0 is a non-reduced, generically reduced scheme having at least two irreducible components, which are either planes or quadric surfaces or cubic scrolls. If one of its components is a cubic scroll, then there is only another irreducible component which is necessarily a plane. From this it follows that $(T_0)_{\mathrm{red}}$ would be a linear projection of a small OADP surface consisting of a cubic rational normal scroll and a plane. Then T_0 would have an embedded point p_0 at the acquired intersection of the two irreducible components with $T_{p_0}T_0 = \mathbb{P}^5$ and X would be singular.

Therefore we can assume that each irreducible component of T_0 is either a plane or an irreducible quadric surface. Then, once again, it is not difficult to see that T_0 is necessarily a linear projection of a small OADP surface with embedded points at the acquired intersections of the irreducible components of $(T_0)_{\mathrm{red}}$, yielding the singularity of each cubic hypersurface containing T_0.

In conclusion, each cubic hypersurface in $\mathcal{C}_{14} \cap \mathcal{C}_8$ contains a small OADP surface $T \subset X$ such that $T \cdot h^2 = 4$ and $T^2 = 10$. Let $P \subset X$ be a plane such that $\mathrm{rk}(< h^2, T, P >) = 3$ and let $\tau = P \cdot T$.

If T is irreducible, then T is a smooth quartic rational normal scroll so that $0 \leq \tau \leq 3$ by Example 3.2 or directly by Theorem 1.1. If $T = S \cup P'$ with $S \subset X$ a cubic rational normal scroll and $P' \subset X$ a plane we can take $P = P'$. Since $P' \cdot S = 0$ by (2), we deduce

$P \cdot T = P^2 = 3$. If every irreducible component of T has degree less than or equal to 2, then X contains a pair of skew planes and one easily deduces $\tau = -1$, see also Example 3.1. In conclusion $-1 \leq \tau \leq 3$ and these examples exist by Example 3.1 and by Example 3.2.

Denote by A_τ the lattice of rank 3 generated by $< h^2, S, P >$ with $\tau = P \cdot S$ as above. We shall indicate by $\mathcal{D}_\tau \subset \mathcal{C}_8 \cap \mathcal{C}_{14}$ the locus of smooth cubic fourfolds such that there is a primitive embedding $A_\tau \subset A(X)$ of lattices preserving h^2. For $-1 \leq \tau \leq 3$ each $\mathcal{D}_\tau$ is a nonempty subvariety by Examples 3.2 and 3.1 and it is of pure codimension 2 in $\mathcal{C}$ by a variant of the proof of [Has00, Thm. 3.1.2]. The argument in the proof of [ABBV-A, Theorem 4] assures that for a general $X \in \mathcal{D}_\tau$ we have $A(X) = A_\tau$ and that each codimension 2 locus $\mathcal{D}_\tau$ is irreducible, hence $\mathcal{C}_8 \cap \mathcal{C}_{14}$ has five irreducible components. ☐

Remark 3.4. To every $X \in \mathcal{C}_8$ one associates a rational fibration in quadric surfaces induced by the projection of X from a plane $P \subset X$ onto a skew plane. If this fibration admits a rational section, then X is rational.

Let X be a general cubic in one of the five irreducible components of $\mathcal{C}_8 \cap \mathcal{C}_{14}$ and let d_X be the discriminant of X. In [ABBV-A, Proposition 5] it is proved that X does not admit a rational section if and only if d_X is even, that is if and only if $P \cdot T \in \{0, 2\}$. In the other components all elements have a rational section and, as already remarked in [ABBV-A], are thus rational. Since every element in $\mathcal{C}_{14}$ is rational, a general cubic hypersurface with $P \cdot T \in \{0, 2\}$ is rational although the associated quadric fibration has no rational section. The case $P \cdot T = 0$ has been already observed in [ABBV-A], where an explicit example is also constructed.

Theorem 3.3, the discussion in Example 3.2 and Example A.2 have essentially shown the next result, which is in contrast with the usual common sense according to which the Pfaffian locus should be open in $\mathcal{C}_{14}$.

Theorem 3.5. *The set $\mathcal{P}f \subset \mathcal{C}_{14}$ is not open in $\mathcal{C}_{14}$. Analogously, the set of smooth cubic fourfolds containing a smooth quartic rational normal scroll is not open in $\mathcal{C}_{14}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{P}f$ were open in $\mathcal{C}_{14}$. Then $\mathcal{P}f \cap C_8$ would be open in $\mathcal{C}_8 \cap C_{14}$ and hence in each of the five irreducible components of this intersection. Thus if $\mathcal{P}f$ intersects one of these components, then the general element of this component would be Pfaffian and hence would contain a smooth quintic del Pezzo surface by [Be, Theorem 9.2, part (i)]. Let $\widetilde{C}_{-1} = D_{-1}$ be the irreducible component of $\mathcal{C}_8 \cap C_{14}$ whose general element is a smooth cubic hypersurface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ containing two skew planes P_1, P_2. By Theorem 3.3 we can suppose $A(X) = \langle h^2, P_1, P_2 \rangle$, where h^2 is the class of a general linear section of X with a $\mathbb{P}^3$.

Example A.2 shows that $\mathcal{P}f \cap D_{-1} \neq \emptyset$ so that X would contain a quintic smooth del Pezzo surface S with $S^2 = 13$. Then in $A(X)$ we would have $S = ah^2 + bP_1 + cP_2$ with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$. Recalling that $h^4 = 3$, $h^2 \cdot P_i = 1$, $P_i^2 = 3$ and $P_1 \cdot P_2 = 0$, we deduce $5 = S \cdot h^2 = 3a + b + c$, $S \cdot P_1 = a + 3b$, $S \cdot P_2 = a + 3c$. The computations made in Example 3.1 ensure that $0 \leq S \cdot P_i \leq 3$. This implies $b = c = 0$, producing a contradiction. Indeed the previous inequality yields $-a/3 \leq b \leq 1 - a/3$, $-a/3 \leq c \leq 1 - a/3$ and $a \in \{1, 2\}$.

Analogously, let $T \subset X$ be a smooth quartic rational normal scroll with X as above, and let us set $T = a'h^2 + b'P_1 + c'P_2$ with $a', b', c' \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we get $4 = T \cdot h^2 = 3a' + b' + c'$, $T \cdot P_1 = a' + 3b'$, $T \cdot P_2 = a' + 3c'$. Now the inequality $0 \leq T \cdot P_i \leq 3$ yields $b' = c' = 0$ and $a' = 1$, giving a contradiction. The conclusion in the second statement follows once again from the existence of a smooth cubic four fold containing a quartic rational normal scroll and a pair of skew planes, see Example A.2. □

Remark 3.6. We recall that the Pfaffian locus $\mathcal{P}f$ and the set of cubics 4-folds containing a smooth quartic rational normal scrolls are images of quasi-projective varieties via suitable morphisms (see [Be, Sect. 8-9], respectively the proof of Thm. 2.6). Thus, by Chevalley Theorem, they are constructible and in particular they contain an open non-empty subset of $\mathcal{C}_{14}$. In fact, $\mathcal{C}_{14}$ is the closure of both these two open sets. If these open subsets intersect an irreducible component of $\mathcal{C}_8 \cap C_{14}$, then the general element of this component is Pfaffian, respectively contains a smooth quartic rational normal scroll. Since these open sets are purely theoretical, with no precise handy description, it is hard to verify whether they intersect an irreducible component or not. Thus the statement that a general element of D_τ, $\tau \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, is Pfaffian requires a quite delicate analysis and cannot be deduced by simply exhibiting a Pfaffian cubic in D_τ, cfr. [ABBV-A, Section 4]. The examples constructed in Examples A.1 and A.2 show that $\mathcal{P}f \cap D_\tau \neq \emptyset$ for every admissible τ and the intersection is non-empty also for the set consisting of cubic four-folds containing a smooth quartic rational normal scroll.

Appendix A. Explicit examples of a smooth cubic hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^5$ containing a del Pezzo quintic

(by Giovanni Staglianò)

We shall construct explicitly, by using the software system Macaulay2 [GS14], smooth cubic hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^5$ which contain a given smooth del Pezzo quintic surface and a plane intersecting the surface along one of the following schemes: an irreducible conic; a set of $1 \leq i \leq 3$ linearly independent reduced points; the empty scheme. Furthermore we will showcase an example of smooth cubic hypersurface containing a del Pezzo quintic and two disjoint planes.

A.1. **Some non-deterministic methods.** We begin by constructing the main tool we need, which we call `RSCI` (*random smooth complete intersection*). It takes as input a homogeneous ideal I of a closed subscheme $V(I) \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ and two integers d, e; it returns the homogeneous ideal of a smooth complete intersection of e hypersurfaces of degree d containing the subscheme $V(I)$. If such a complete intersection does not exist, the method goes in an infinite loop and does not produce any output; if instead the complete intersection exists, the method returns such output with a high probability of doing so in a short running time.

```
i1 : RSCI = {a => 1} >> o -> (I,d,e) -> (
     -- Pass a greater value of 'a' to obtain more random coefficients.
     R:=ring I;
     K:=coefficientRing R;
     J:=ideal image basis(d,saturate I);
     m:=numgens J;
     H:=ideal R;
     while not (codim H == e and isSmooth H) do
     H=sum(for i to e-1 list ideal sum(for j to m-1 list sub(random(-o.a+1,o.a),K)*J_j));
     trim H
     );
```

The above called method `isSmooth` takes as input a homogeneous equidimensional ideal I and returns a boolean value according to the condition of being smooth for the projective scheme $V(I)$. It is defined as follows:

```
i2 : isSmooth = (I) -> (codim(minors(codim I,jacobian I)+I) >= dim ring I);
```

Now we define two more specific methods. The first, called `findPointsOnImage`, takes as input a ring map, representing a rational map $h : \mathbb{P}^m \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$, and a non-negative integer i; it returns the homogeneous ideal of a set of i linearly independent reduced points on the image Z of h. The second method, called `mergePlane`, takes as input the map h and the homogeneous ideal of a closed subscheme Y of the image Z; it returns the homogeneous ideal of the union of Z with a plane P such that the scheme-theoretic intersection $Z \cap P$ is Y.

```
i3 : findPointsOnImage = (h,i) -> (
     R:=target h;
     if i==0 then return ideal 1_(source h);
     P:=ideal 1_R;
     for j to i-1 do P=intersect(P,RSCI(ideal 1_R,1,dim R-1));
     hP:=preimage(h,P);
     return if dim ideal image basis(1,hP)==i then hP else findPointsOnImage(h,i);
     );
i4 : mergePlane = (h,Y) -> (
     N:=dim source h-1;
     Z:=kernel h;
     P:=RSCI(Y,1,N-2);
     while not saturate(Z+P)==Y do P=RSCI(Y,1,N-2);
     <<"Merged plane: "<<P<<endl;
     intersect(Z,P)
     );
```

A.2. **Parametrizations of the del Pezzo quintic.** Here we fix parametrizations for the quintic del Pezzo surface. We get a parametrization of the del Pezzo surface by the map associated to the linear system of all cubic curves in $\mathbb{P}^2$ passing through four points in general position:

```
i5 : K=QQ; ringP2=K[t_0..t_2]; ringP5=K[x_0..x_5];
i8 : delPezzoMap=map(ringP2,ringP5,gens image basis(3,intersect(ideal(t_0,t_1),
                     ideal(t_1,t_2),ideal(t_2,t_0),ideal(t_0-t_1,t_2-t_0))));
o8 : RingMap ringP2 <--- ringP5
```

The image of our parametrization is defined by the five quadratic forms:

$$\begin{array}{rll} x_2x_4 - x_1x_5, & x_0x_4 - x_1x_5 - x_3x_5 + x_4x_5, & x_2x_3 - x_0x_5, \\ x_1x_3 - x_1x_5 - x_3x_5 + x_4x_5, & x_0x_1 - x_1x_2 - x_0x_5 + x_1x_5. & \end{array}$$

A.2.1. *Setting up of the intersections between the del Pezzo quintic and the planes.* By using the above defined parametrization, we can discover an irreducible conic curve contained in the quintic del Pezzo surface by taking the image of an irreducible conic passing through the four points $[1,0,0]$, $[0,1,0]$, $[0,1,0]$, $[1,1,1]$. We also use the parametrization of the surface to find out sets of $0 \leq i \leq 3$ linearly independent reduced points on it.

```
i9 : conicOndelPezzo=preimage(delPezzoMap,RSCI(ideal matrix delPezzoMap,2,1));
i10 : pointsOndelPezzo=for i to 3 list findPointsOnImage(delPezzoMap,i);
```

A.3. **Determination of the examples.** Now we are ready to compute the promised smooth cubic hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^5$. We exhibit then in Example A.1 and A.2; they were obtained from the following code (of which we omit the output lines).

```
i11 : {RSCI(mergePlane(delPezzoMap,conicOndelPezzo),3,1)} |
      for i to 3 list RSCI(mergePlane(delPezzoMap,pointsOndelPezzo_i),3,1)
```

Example A.1 (Smooth cubics containing the quintic del Pezzo surface)**.** The following cubic forms $\mathcal{C}$ define hypersurfaces containing the quintic del Pezzo surface Z and a plane P as follows:

(a) $P = V(x_2 + x_5, x_1 + x_4, x_0 + x_3)$, $Z \cap P$ is a conic, $\mathcal{C} = x_0^2x_1 - x_1x_2^2 + x_0x_1x_3 + x_1^2x_3 + x_0x_2x_3 + x_1x_2x_3 + x_2^2x_3 + x_1x_3^2 + x_2x_3^2 + x_0^2x_4 - x_1x_2x_4 + x_2^2x_4 + x_0x_4^2 - 2x_0^2x_5 - 2x_0x_2x_5 - 2x_1x_2x_5 - 3x_0x_3x_5 - x_2x_3x_5 - x_3^2x_5 + x_0x_4x_5 + 2x_2x_4x_5 + x_4^2x_5 - x_0x_5^2 - x_1x_5^2 - x_3x_5^2 + x_4x_5^2$;

(b) $P = V(x_3 - x_5, x_2 + x_4, x_0 + x_1 + x_5)$, $Z \cap P = \emptyset$, $\mathcal{C} = x_0^2x_1 + x_0x_1^2 - x_1^2x_2 - x_1x_2^2 + x_1^2x_3 + x_1x_2x_3 + x_2x_3^2 + x_0^2x_4 + 2x_0x_1x_4 + x_1x_2x_4 + x_0x_3x_4 + x_2x_3x_4 - 2x_0x_4^2 - x_0^2x_5 - 2x_0x_1x_5 - 3x_1^2x_5 - x_0x_2x_5 + x_1x_2x_5 - 2x_0x_3x_5 - 4x_1x_3x_5 + x_2x_3x_5 - x_3^2x_5 + x_0x_4x_5 + 5x_1x_4x_5 - x_2x_4x_5 + 3x_3x_4x_5 - 2x_4^2x_5 - x_0x_5^2 - x_3x_5^2 + x_4x_5^2$;

(c) $P = V(x_2 - x_4 - x_5, x_1 + x_3 + x_4 + 2x_5, x_0)$, $Z \cap P$ consists of one point, $\mathcal{C} = x_0^2x_1 - x_1x_2^2 + x_0x_1x_3 + x_1^2x_3 + x_0x_2x_3 + x_2^2x_3 + x_1x_3^2 + x_0^2x_4 + x_0x_1x_4 + x_2^2x_4 + x_1x_3x_4 - 2x_2x_3x_4 + x_0x_4^2 - 2x_2x_4^2 - 2x_0^2x_5 - x_0x_1x_5 - 2x_1^2x_5 - 2x_0x_2x_5 - 2x_0x_3x_5 - x_1x_3x_5 + 2x_2x_3x_5 - x_3^2x_5 + 7x_0x_4x_5 + 2x_1x_4x_5 - 2x_2x_4x_5 - x_3x_4x_5 + 2x_4^2x_5 - 2x_0x_5^2 - 3x_1x_5^2 - 5x_3x_5^2 + 5x_4x_5^2$;

(d) $P = V(x_4 + x_5, x_1 - x_2 + 2x_3 + 2x_5, x_0 + x_2 - x_3 - x_5)$, $Z \cap P$ consists of two points, $\mathcal{C} = x_0^2x_1 + x_0x_1^2 - x_0x_1x_2 - x_1^2x_2 + x_1^2x_3 + x_1x_2x_3 - 4x_2^2x_3 + 3x_1x_3^2 + 8x_2x_3^2 + x_0^2x_4 + x_1x_2x_4 + x_2^2x_4 + x_0x_3x_4 + x_0x_4^2 - x_0^2x_5 - 5x_0x_1x_5 - x_1^2x_5 + 4x_0x_2x_5 + 2x_1x_2x_5 - 9x_0x_3x_5 - x_1x_3x_5 + 12x_2x_3x_5 - 4x_3^2x_5 + x_0x_4x_5 - 4x_2x_4x_5 + 3x_3x_4x_5 + x_4^2x_5 - 9x_0x_5^2 - 3x_1x_5^2 - 4x_3x_5^2 + 4x_4x_5^2$;

(e) $P = V(x_3 + x_4, x_1 - x_5, x_0 + x_2)$, $Z \cap P$ consists of three points, $\mathcal{C} = x_0x_1^2 + x_0x_1x_2 - x_1^2x_2 - x_1x_2^2 + x_0x_1x_3 + x_2^2x_3 + x_2x_3^2 + x_0^2x_4 + x_0x_2x_4 + x_1x_2x_4 + x_2^2x_4 + 2x_0x_3x_4 + 2x_1x_3x_4 + 3x_2x_3x_4 + x_0x_4^2 + x_2x_4^2 - x_0x_1x_5 - 2x_0x_2x_5 - 3x_1x_2x_5 - 3x_0x_3x_5 + x_2x_3x_5 - 2x_3^2x_5 - x_0x_4x_5 - 4x_1x_4x_5 + x_2x_4x_5 - x_3x_4x_5 + 3x_4^2x_5 - 4x_0x_5^2 - 2x_3x_5^2 + 2x_4x_5^2$.

Example A.2 (Smooth cubic fourfold containing a del Pezzo quintic and two disjoint planes.)**.** The following code produces two conics lying on the del Pezzo quintic such that the two planes obtained as linear spans are disjoint.

```
i12 : c=0; while c < 6 do (
      conic1=preimage(delPezzoMap,RSCI(ideal matrix delPezzoMap,2,1,a=>1));
      conic2=preimage(delPezzoMap,RSCI(ideal matrix delPezzoMap,2,1,a=>2));
      linSpanConic1=ideal image basis(1,conic1);
      linSpanConic2=ideal image basis(1,conic2);
      c=codim(linSpanConic1+linSpanConic2));
```

The scheme Z is the union of the del Pezzo quintic and the two disjoint planes found here above

```
i14 : Z=intersect(kernel delPezzoMap,linSpanConic1,linSpanConic2);
```

As before, in order to get the smooth cubic fourfold $\mathcal{C}$ containing Z we perform:

```
i15 : C=RSCI(Z,3,1)
```

This in turn gives the following equation:

$$\mathcal{C} = 2x_0^2x_1 - 2x_1x_2^2 + x_0x_1x_3 + x_1^2x_3 + x_1x_2x_3 + x_2^2x_3 + x_1x_3^2 - x_0x_1x_4 + x_2^2x_4 - 2x_0x_3x_4 + x_2x_4^2 - 2x_0^2x_5 + x_0x_1x_5 - 3x_0x_2x_5 - x_0x_3x_5 + x_2x_3x_5 + x_3^2x_5 + x_0x_4x_5 - x_1x_4x_5 - x_3x_4x_5 - 2x_0x_5^2 + 2x_1x_5^2 + x_3x_5^2 - x_4x_5^2,$$

with

$P_1 = V(x_2 + x_5, x_1 + x_4, x_0 + x_3)$,
$P_2 = V(2x_2 - x_5, 2x_1 - x_4, 2x_0 - x_3)$.

The conics belong to a pencil of conics, whose linear spans determine a Segre 3-fold $\Sigma_1 = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^5$. Then $\Sigma_1 \cap \mathcal{C}$ consists of the del Pezzo surface S and of the small variety $P_1 \cup P_2 \cup Q$, with Q a smooth quadric surface.

On S there are four more pencil of conics whose linear spans determine four Segre 3-folds Σ_i, $i = 2, 3, 4, 5$. Then one verifies that $\Sigma_i \cap \mathcal{C} = S \cup T_i$ with T_i a smooth quartic rational normal scroll for every $i = 2, 3, 4, 5$.

In particular there exist smooth cubic four folds containing a smooth quartic rational normal scroll and two disjoint planes.

References

[]GS14 D. R. Grayson and M. E. Stillman, *Macaulay2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry (version 1.7)*, 2014.

[]AL N. Addington, M. Lehn *On the symplectic eightfold associated to a Pfaffian cubic fourfold*, To appear in J. Reine Angew. Math., arXiv:1404.5657.

[]AR A. Alzati, F. Russo, *Some elementary extremal contractions between smooth varieties arising from projective geometry*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 89 (2004), 25–53.

[]ABBV-A A. Auel, M. Bernardara, M. Bolognesi, A. Várilly-Alvarado. *Cubic fourfolds containing a plane and a quintic del Pezzo surface*, Algebr. Geom. 1 (2014), no. 2, 181–193.

[]Be A. Beauville, *Determinantal Hypersurfaces*, Dedicated to William Fulton on the occasion of his 60th birthday. Michigan Math. J. 48 (2000), 39–64.

[]BD A. Beauville, R. Donagi, *La variété des droites d'une hypersurface cubique de dimension* 4, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 301 (1985), 703–706.

[]EHP D. Eisenbud, K. Hulek, S. Popescu, *A note on the intersection of Veronese surfaces*, "Commutative algebra, singularities and computer algebra (Sinaia, 2002)", 127–139, NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem., 115, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2003.

[]EGHP D. Eisenbud, M. Green, K. Hulek, S. Popescu, *Small schemes and varieties of minimal degree*, Amer. J. Math. 128 (2006), 1363–1389.

[]Fa G. Fano, *Sulle forme cubiche dello spazio a cinque dimensioni contenenti rigate razionali del* 4° *ordine,* Comment. Math. Helv. 15 (1943), 71–80.

[]Fu W. Fulton, *Intersection Theory*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, 2. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. xiv+470 pp.

[]Has99 B. Hassett, *Some rational cubic fourfolds*, J. Algebraic Geom. 8 (1999), 103–114.

[]Has00 B. Hassett, *Special cubic fourfolds*, Compositio Mathematica 120 (2000), no. 1, 1-23

[]Har R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977. xvi+496 pp

[]La R. Laza, *The moduli space of cubic fourfolds via the period map*, Ann. of Math. 172 (2010), 673–711.

[]Lo E. Looijenga, *The period map for cubic fourfolds*, Invent. Math. 177 (2009), 213–233.

[]Nu15 H. Nuer, *Unirationality of moduli spaces of special cubic fourfolds and K3 surfaces*, preprint http://arxiv.org/abs/1503.05256 (2015), 1–12.

[]Ru F. Russo, *On a Theorem of Severi*, Math. Ann. 316 (2000), 1–17.

[]Tr S. L. Tregub, *Two remarks on four-dimensional cubics*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 48 (1993), no. 2(290), 201–202; translation in Russian Math. Surveys 48 (1993), no. 2, 206–208

[]Ve P. Vermeire, *Some results on secant varieties leading to a geometric flip construction*, Comp. Math. 125 (2001), 263–282.

[]Vo C. Voisin, *Théorème de Torelli pour les cubiques de* $\mathbf{P}^5$, Invent. Math. 86 (1986), 577–601.

Institut Montpellierain Alexander Grothendieck, Université de Montpellier, Case Courrier 051 - Place Eugène Bataillon, 34095 Montpellier Cedex 5, France
E-mail address: `michele.bolognesi@umontpellier.fr`

Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università degli Studi di Catania, Viale A. Doria 5, 95125 Catania, Italy
E-mail address: `frusso@dmi.unict.it`

Instituto de Matemática, Universidade Federal Fluminense, Nitéroi (RJ), Brazil
E-mail address: `giovannistagliano@gmail.com`